## The Hierarchy of Evidence

## The Hierarchy of evidence is based on summaries from the National Health and Medical Research Council (2009), the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine Levels of Evidence (2011) and Melynyk and Fineout-Overholt (2011).

- I Evidence obtained from a systematic review of all relevant randomised control trials.
- II Evidence obtained from at least one well designed randomised control trial.
- III Evidence obtained from well-designed controlled trials without randomisation.
- IV Evidence obtained from well-designed cohort studies, case control studies, interrupted time series with a control group, historically controlled studies, interrupted time series without a control group or with case- series
- V Evidence obtained from systematic reviews of descriptive and qualitative studies
- VI Evidence obtained from single descriptive and qualitative studies
- VII Expert opinion from clinicians, authorities and/or reports of expert committees or based on physiology

Melynyk, B. & Fineout-Overholt, E. (2011). Evidence-based practice in nursing & healthcare: A guide to best practice (2nd ed.). Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

National Health and Medical Research Council (2009). NHMRC levels of evidence and grades for recommendations for developers of guidelines (2009). Australian Government: NHMRC. http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/\_files\_nhmrc/file/guidelines/evidence\_statement\_form.pdf

OCEBM Levels of Evidence Working Group Oxford (2011). The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence. Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine. http://www.cebm.net/index.aspx?o=1025

Databases searched:	Image: CINAHL (Ebsco)       Image: Medline (Ebsco)       Image: Pubmed (NLM)       Image: Nursing (Ovid)       Image: Emcare (Ovid)				
Keywords used:	Paediatrics, pediatrics, procedur*, procedural pain, non-pharmacological, distress, anxiety, hospital* parent*				
Search limits:	English, Years 2000 - 2020				
Other search					
comments:					



## Guideline Title: Procedure Management Guideline Author(s): Emily Cull, Beth Dun, Anne-Maree Leahy, Jenny O'Neill & Charmaine Cini

Reference (include title, author, journal title, year of publication, volume and issue, pages)		Key findings, outcomes or recommendations
Bagheriyan, S., Borhani, F., Abbaszadeh, A., & Ranjbar, H. (2011). The effects of regular breathing exercise and making bubbles on the pain of catheter insertion in school age children. <i>Iranian Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Research</i> , <i>16</i> (2): 174–180.		<ul> <li>Deep breathing and bubbles reduce pain perception in children compared to neither</li> <li>There is no difference in pain perception between using deep breathing and blowing bubble techniques – therefore the choice should be made on child preference</li> </ul>
Birnie KA, Noel M, Chambers CT, Uman LS, Parker JA. (2018). Psychological interventions for needle- related procedural pain and distress in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 10.	Ι	• There is evidence for efficacy of distraction, hypnosis, CBT and breathing interventions for children's needle-related pain or distress.
Boles, J. (2013). Speaking up for children undergoing procedures: the ONE VOICE approach. <i>Pediatric Nursing 39</i> (5): 257-259).	VII	<ul> <li>Description of ONE VOICE program developed by child life therapists to reduce stress of procedures for children, families and staff.</li> <li>Main principles:</li> <li>One single voice should be heard during a procedure.</li> <li>Need parental involvement.</li> <li>Educate patient before the procedure about what is going to happen.</li> <li>Validate the child with words.</li> <li>Offer the most comfortable, non-threatening position.</li> <li>Individualize your game plan.</li> <li>Choose appropriate distraction to be used.</li> <li>Eliminate unnecessary people not actually involved with the procedure.</li> </ul>
Brown, Richard & Gerbarg, Patricia & Muench, Fred. (2013). Breathing practices for treatment of psychiatric and stress-related medical conditions. <i>The Psychiatric Clinics of North America</i> . <i>36:</i> 121-40.		<ul> <li>Specific breath practices have been shown to be beneficial in reducing symptoms of stress, anxiety, insomnia, posttraumatic stress disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, depression, attention deficit disorder, and schizophrenia.</li> <li>Slow breathing is safe in most patient populations</li> <li>Technology such as apps can provide a helpful tool for breathing techniques</li> </ul>

Fanurik, D. et al. (2000). Hospital room or treatment room for pediatric inpatient procedures: Which location do parents and children prefer?. <i>Pain Research and Managemen.</i> . 5: 148-156.		<ul> <li>The hospital room was preferred by the majority of parents and children over the treatment room for minor procedures</li> <li>Reasons for this choice included the child's emotional or physical comfort, convenience and difficulties moving the child due to motor impairment or medical condition.</li> <li>The treatment room was generally preferred for more invasive procedures.</li> <li>Reasons for this included concerns for the privacy of the child and roommate, and the need for special equipment or supplies</li> </ul>
Fusco N et al. (2020). Hypnosis and communication reduce pain and anxiety in peripheral intravenous cannulation: Effect of language and confusion on pain during peripheral intravenous catheterization (KTHYPE), a multicentre randomised trial. <i>British Journal of Anaesthesia</i> , 124(3): 292		<ul> <li>Significant benefit of a hypnosis technique during a routine procedure</li> <li>Hypnotic communication with a confusion technique compared with neutral or nocebo communication decreases pain and anxiety</li> </ul>
Harrison, C. (2004). Treatment decisions regarding infants, children and adolescents. <i>Paediatrics &amp; Child Health</i> , 9(2): 99–114.		• Physicians should carefully assess factors for children and adolescents to make their own decisions, encourage decision-making by patients, families and the health care team together, and support capable patients who wish to make their own decisions.
Krauss B, & Green SM. (2000). Primary care. Sedation and analgesia for procedures in children. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , <i>342</i> (13): 938–945.		• Recommendations for sedation for prolonged or painful procedures
Lewrick, J.L. (2016). Minimizing pediatric healthcare-induced anxiety and trauma. <i>World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics</i> , 5(2): 143-150.		<ul> <li>Introduces framework to promote positive healthcare interactions with children: choice, agenda, resilience and emotion (CARE)</li> <li>Choices: Offer power in a powerless environment</li> <li>Agenda: Let patients and families know what to expect and what is expected of them</li> <li>Resilience: Highlight strengths and reframe negatives; and</li> <li>Emotional support: Recognize and normalize common fears and responses.</li> </ul>
Loeffen, et al. (2020). Reducing pain and distress related to needle procedures in children with cancer: A Clinical Practice Guideline. <i>European Journal of Cancer, 131</i> : 53–67.		<ul> <li>Clinical practice guideline developed in 2020 detailing the following:         <ul> <li>Recommendation for the presence of parents as a comfort measure during procedures</li> <li>Preparing the child and family for procedures and involving them in the planning</li> <li>Evidence table grading the level of evidence of each intervention</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Mesibov GB, Browder DM, Kirkland C. (2002). Using individualized schedules as a component of positive behavioral support for students with developmental disabilities. <i>Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions</i> , 4(2):73-79).	VII	• Outlines the process of using personalised schedules for children with disabilities

Noel, M., et al.(2012). The influence of children's pain memories on subsequent pain experience. <i>Pain</i> , <i>153</i> (8): 1563-1572.		<ul> <li>Children's memory of pain intensity is a predictor of subsequent pain reporting</li> <li>Emphasises the role of pain memory</li> </ul>
Pillai Riddell, R., et al.(2011). Nonpharmacological management of procedural pain in infants and young children: an abridged Cochrane review. <i>Pain Res Management</i> , <i>16</i> (5): 321-30.	Ι	<ul> <li>To assess the efficacy of nonpharmacological interventions for acute procedural pain in children up to three years of age</li> <li>Sufficient evidence to support nonpharmacological interventions in infants and healthy neonates there was limited evidence to support these interventions with older infants and young children</li> </ul>
Sometti D, Tinazzi M & Fiorio M. (2019). When words hurt: Verbal suggestion prevails over conditioning in inducing the motor nocebo effect. <i>European Journal of Neuroscience</i> , <i>50</i> : 3311-3326.		• Emphasises the importance of positive verbal suggestion in enhancing coping
Srouji, R, Ratnapalan, S & Schneeweiss, S. (2010). Pain in Dhildren: Assessment and nonpharmacological management. <i>International Journal of Pediatrics</i> . 11.	VII	<ul> <li>Recommendations for parent involvement in planning procedures, including parent training and parents coaching their child</li> <li>Also summarises interventions such as distraction, imagery, breathing exercises, non-nutritive sucking, skin to skin contact and swaddling.</li> </ul>
Snyder BS .(2004). Preventing treatment interference: Nurses' and parents' intervention strategies. <i>Pediatric Nursing</i> 30: 31–40.	VI	<ul> <li>Reports that children who were physically restrained reported feelings of anger, resistance and discomfort which had ongoing negative memories for patients.</li> <li>Alternative interventions such as building rapport, preparation, distraction and engagement with choice making for how an intervention is completed should be utilised to improve cooperation.</li> </ul>
Taddio, A. et al. (2015). Procedural and physical Interventions for vaccine injections: systematic review of randomized controlled trials and quasi-randomized controlled trials." <i>Clinical Journal of Pain, 31 Supplement (10S)</i> : S20-S37.		<ul> <li>Synthesis of evidence on reducing vaccination pain in children</li> <li>Recommendations for pain mitigation is based on five domains - procedural, physical, pharmacological, psychological and process</li> <li>Relevant aspects include:</li> <li>Parents to be present whenever possible in children under 10</li> <li>Use of breastfeeding under 2, and comfort holding in a sitting upright position</li> <li>Use of sucrose</li> </ul>
Twycross, A, Dowden, S & Bruce, E. (2013). <i>Managing pain in children: a clinical guide for nurses and health professionals.</i> . Wiley-Blackwell: United Kingdom.		<ul><li>Chapter 10: Management of painful procedures</li><li>Physiology, general principles</li></ul>
Vagnoli, A, Bettini, E, Amore, S, De Masi, A & Messeri, (2019). Relaxation-guided imagery reduces perioperative anxiety and pain in children: a randomized study. <i>European Journal of Pediatrics</i> , <i>178</i> (6): 913- 921.	П	• Relaxation-guided imagery reduces preoperative anxiety and postoperative pain in children

Young, K. (2005). Pediatric Procedural Pain. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 45(2): 160-171.		•	Review of the evidence for the long-term negative effects of poorly managed procedural pain Impact of the environment on the child's perception of pain and stress reduction
--	--	---	---